| 1 | Q. | RE: H | HGB 8: | | | |
|----|----|-------|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | | | | | | |
| 3 | | 55.1 | Will the change from an LOLE of 0.2 days to a LOLH of 2.8 hours per | | | |
| 4 | | | year require any capital expenditure for capacity requirements? | | | |
| 5 | | | | | | |
| 6 | | 55.2 | Is the use of a LOLH of 2.8 hours the current Canadian industry | | | |
| 7 | | | norm? If not what is? | | | |
| 8 | | | | | | |
| 9 | | | | | | |
| 10 | Α. | 55.1 | The change from an LOLE of 0.2 days per year to an LOLH of 2.8 | | | |
| 11 | | | hours per year will not require any capital expenditure for capacity | | | |
| 12 | | | requirements. | | | |
| 13 | | | | | | |
| 14 | | 55.2 | In order to confirm our understanding of what the current capacity | | | |
| 15 | | | reliability criteria is for other utilities in Canada, Newfoundland and | | | |
| 16 | | | Labrador Hydro (Hydro) completed a telephone survey in July of this | | | |
| 17 | | | year. The results of the survey are provided in the table below. Utilities | | | |
| 18 | | | express generation reliability as either LOLE (Loss of Load | | | |
| 19 | | | Expectation) or LOLH (Loss of Load Hours) target. The only difference | | | |
| 20 | | | being the units of measure. The expression of the reliability target as | | | |
| 21 | | | an LOLE is most prevalent, as well as the use of a target value of 0.1 | | | |
| 22 | | | days/year. Hydro's reliability criteria of an LOLH of 2.8 hours/year is | | | |
| 23 | | | equivalent to an LOLE of 0.2 days/year. Also note that although most | | | |
| 24 | | | utilities use the same reliability target, their capacity reserve margins | | | |
| 25 | | | can differ. This is because capacity reserve margins are influenced by | | | |
| 26 | | | many factors such as: | | | |
| 27 | | | | | | |
| 28 | | | An acceptable level of reliability; | | | |

| 1 | The size of a system; |
|----|--|
| 2 | The number and size of generating units; |
| 3 | The type of generating units; |
| 4 | Whether interconnection assistance from other utility systems is |
| 5 | available and at what level; and |
| 6 | System load shape. |
| 7 | |
| 8 | Each utility system is unique in its design and operation. |
| 9 | |
| 10 | The expression of capacity reserve, by utility, also differs. While some |
| 11 | calculate the reserve as a percentage of firm load, others calculate it |
| 12 | as a percentage of firm capacity. For the island interconnected |
| 13 | system, Hydro calculates capacity reserve, as a percentage of firm |
| 14 | load at 18.5 %. When the equivalent capacity is expressed as a |
| 15 | percentage of firm generating capacity, the value becomes 15.6 %. |
| | |

PUB-55.0 2001 General Rate Application

| Utility | Generation Capacity Reliability Target | Capacity Reserve | Comments |
|----------------------------------|---|--|---|
| Newfoundland & Labrador Hydro | LOLH = 2.8 Hours/Year ¹ | 18.5% of firm load | |
| Nova Scotia Power | LOLE = 0.1 Days/Year | 20% of firm load | Follows the guidelines set out by the Northeast Power Co-ordinating Council (NPCC) |
| New Brunswick Power | LOLE = 0.1 Days/Year | 20% of firm load | Follows the guidelines set out by the NPCC |
| Hydro Quebec | LOLE = 0.1 Days/Year LOLH = 2.4 Hours/Year | 12% of firm load | Follows the guidelines set out by the NPCC |
| Ontario Power Generation | LOLE = 0.1 Days/Year | 18% (short term), 20-25% (long term) of firm load | Follows the guidelines set out by the NPCC. |
| Manitoba Hydro | LOLE = 0.1 Days/Year Without Interconnections (guideline) | 12% of firm load as a minimum | Follows the guidelines set out by the Mid-Continent Area Power Pool (MAPP) |
| SaskPower | Unserved Energy not greater than 0.035%/Year | 15% as a minimum, of firm load | Follows the guidelines set out by the MAPP |
| BC Hydro | LOLE = 0.1 Days/Year | 14% of firm installed capacity | Follows the guidelines set out by the Western System Co-ordinating Council (WSCC) |

1 – Equivalent to LOLE = 0.2 Days/year